

CPUC Staff Ex Ante Review

CPUC Staff Project ID Number	PGE 20 C C 584 Site Specific Project - 10264 NMEC
CMPA Directory Link	https://deeresources.info/cmpa/projects/18844
PA	PGE
PA Application ID	Site Specific Project - 10264
PA Application Executed Date	6/15/2020
PA Program ID	COWBDPGE19
PA Program Name	Commercial Calculated Incentives ? Whole Building
PA Program Year	2020
Date of CPUC Staff Review:	3/16/2021
PA CMPA Upload Dates Included in this review:	
First PA Upload	11/3/2020
Second PA Upload	2/2/2021
Third PA Upload	#N/A
Fourth PA Upload	
Fifth PA Upload	
Sixth PA Upload	
Seventh PA Upload	
Eighth PA Upload	
PA Measure Description(s):	
Measure 1	NMEC Whole Building
Measure 2	
Measure 3	
Measure 4	
Measure 5	
Measure 6	
Measure 7	
Measure 8	
Measure 9	
Measure 10	
PA Project Description:	0
Bi-Monthly Upload kW Demand Reduction	0.0
Bi-Monthly Upload Annual kWh Impacts	1,013,229.7
Bi-Monthly Upload Therm Impacts	12,444.5
PA Proposed Incentive \$ (to Customer)	\$0.00
Project Documentation kW Demand Reduction	0.0
Project Documentation Annual kWh Impacts	897,337.2
Project Documentation Annual Therm Impacts	12,444.5
CPUC Staff Primary Reviewer Name	
CPUC Staff Primary Reviewer Firm	SBW Consulting
CPUC Staff Review Supervisor Name	
CPUC Staff Review Supervisor Firm	BMI
PA Primary Reviewer Name	
PA Primary Reviewer Firm	
CPUC Staff Project Manager	
CPUC Staff Policy Authorization (as needed)	
CPUC Staff Recommendation:	Advisory
For rejection, action required:	N/A
M&V Review:	Post M&V Review (M&V Results and Final Calculations) Required

Action Number:	Summary of CPUC Staff Required Action by the PA:	Action Category	PA Response	ED Resolution
1	Gas baseline model does not meet minimum goodness of fit (GOF) statistic requirements in NMEC Rulebook 2.0 and Site Level NMEC Technical Guidance v. 2.0. Please justify the suitability of the NMEC model for estimating gas savings or propose an alternative method for estimating gas savings.	Calculation method		

2	Savings for non-Monarch measures estimated using rules of thumb. Once these measures are implemented, please conduct an engineering analysis to estimate savings specific to the measures installed in the project. Use the results of the analysis to estimate the project level EUL using a savings weighted EUL based on DEER EULs by measure.	Calculation method		
3	The NMEC Rulebook v. 2.0 states "The time between the end of the baseline period and the completion of the Project Implementation stage should not exceed 18 months; otherwise the project shall be re-baselined." The project may require revision of baseline model if the reporting period starts after 8/21/2021.	Analysis assumptions		
4	The equipment list provided in the project documentation package lists a cogeneration system at this site. Please verify if cogeneration exists and prepare a non-IOU fuel analysis per "Energy Efficiency Savings Eligibility at Sites with non-IOU Supplied Energy Sources — Guidance Document v. 1.1" and report grid impacts for first performance period report.	Self generation		
5	Program Influence (PI) documentation does not meet the requirements in the Custom Projects Guidance Document v. 1.3 Appendix D. Note this project may be selected for ex-post evaluation of program influence. A full PI documentation package will assist in determining the ex-post NTGR.	Program influence		
6	The Custom Projects Guidance Document v 1.3 Section 2.3.1 (2) states "All custom projects, except New Construction, must be available for a pre-installation site inspection prior to the implementation of the measures to verify existing equipment and loads on the equipment and to confirm that the proposed measures are not already implemented." Recognizing that site inspections are difficult due to COVID-19 restrictions, this requirement is waived for this project. For future projects, please describe how the pre and post installation inspections will be conducted under this program.	Eligibility		

Note or Instruction Number:	CPUC Staff Notes or Instructions:	Instruction Category	PA Response	ED Resolution
1	CPR of a designated NMEC project is advisory. Please submit first year performance report for review at the conclusion of the first year performance period.	Continue Document Upload		

CPUC Staff Recommendation Definitions	
CPUC Staff Recommendation	Definition
Application ready to proceed without exception	The PA will continue to upload application documents to the CMPA directory through the implementation and claims phases of the project. The PA may proceed to approve the project without waiting for CPUC Staff response. A project is waived from further review at the post-installation stage by CPUC staff, but the PA is responsible for post-installation (IR) review. There will not be conditional approval.
Application ready to proceed with exception(s), as noted	<p>The PA must make revisions or changes as noted in CPUC Staff's review comments before signed agreement with customer. The PA will continue to upload application documents to the CMPA directory through the implementation and claims phases of the project. The PA may proceed to approve the project without waiting for CPUC Staff response. If CPUC Staff decides to perform IR review of a project, CPUC Staff will notify the PA. The scope will be limited to determine if the project was carried out consistent with the application and notes provided during pre-installation review and to obtain information pertaining to whether the eligibility criteria or metrics should be revised.</p> <p>Unless the scope of work presented in project application has changed at IR review, the project will not be reviewed again in the areas specified below. Scope change is defined by substantial changes include significant modifications to the proposed equipment type, size, quantity, configuration, the expansion of a project to include additional retrofits, or the splitting of a project into multiple phases.</p> <p>The following areas will not be reviewed again by CPUC Staff:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Calculation Tool • Calculation Methodology • M&V Plan • Baseline • Eligibility • EUL/RUL • Measure Type • Program Influence
Application rejected.	<p>The application is rejected as submitted. The PA shall promptly inform the applicant as to the reasons why the project was rejected and the specific recommendations for the conditions under which the project would be approved. CPUC Staff shall provide the reasons for the rejection or request for modification, including each basis as to why the project is rejected, or modification is requested. In addition, CPUC Staff shall provide specific recommendations for the conditions under which the project would be approved.</p> <p>If any party to the project is unsatisfied with the Commission's directions for the project, a dispute resolution process may be initiated by that party. The Commission shall adopt rules for the conduct of the dispute resolution process. – Section 381.2 (g) (3) (F)</p>