

## CPUC Staff Ex Ante Review

CPUC Staff Project ID Number	PGE_20_C_A_573_PRJ - 02612348_Process
CMPA Directory Link	<a href="https://deeresources.info/cmpa/projects/18777">https://deeresources.info/cmpa/projects/18777</a>
PA	PGE
PA Application ID	PRJ - 02612348
PA Application Executed Date	
PA Program ID	PGE21034
PA Program Name	Agricultural Energy Advisor - Deemed Downstream - Advanced Pump
PA Program Year	
Date of CPUC Staff Review:	11/16/2020
PA CMPA Upload Dates Included in this review:	
First PA Upload	10/6/2020
Second PA Upload	N/A
Third PA Upload	N/A
Fourth PA Upload	
Fifth PA Upload	
Sixth PA Upload	
Seventh PA Upload	
Eighth PA Upload	
PA Measure Description(s):	
Measure 1	PROCESS RETROFIT/NEW-PUMPS-REPAIR
Measure 2	
Measure 3	
Measure 4	
Measure 5	
Measure 6	
Measure 7	
Measure 8	
Measure 9	
Measure 10	
PA Project Description:	0
Bi-Monthly Upload kW Demand Reduction	0.0
Bi-Monthly Upload Annual kWh Impacts	71,912.0
Bi-Monthly Upload Therm Impacts	0.0
PA Proposed Incentive \$ (to Customer)	\$5,752.96
Project Documentation kW Demand Reduction	0.0
Project Documentation Annual kWh Impacts	71,912.0
Project Documentation Annual Therm Impacts	0.0
CPUC Staff Primary Reviewer Name	
CPUC Staff Primary Reviewer Firm	Energy 350
CPUC Staff Review Supervisor Name	
CPUC Staff Review Supervisor Firm	SBW
PA Primary Reviewer Name	
PA Primary Reviewer Firm	
CPUC Staff Project Manager	
CPUC Staff Policy Authorization (as needed)	
CPUC Staff Recommendation:	Application ready to proceed with exception(s), as noted
For rejection, action required:	N/A
M&V Review:	Post M&V Review NOT Required

Action Number:	Summary of CPUC Staff Required Action by the PA:	Action Category	Due Date	PA Response	ED Resolution
1	According to the Custom Project Guidance Document, if a custom project's simple payback period exceeds its effective useful life, the PA's review and approval is required on a case-by-case basis. The case-by-case consideration must take into consideration the entirety of the project to include, but not limited to, how much longer is the simple payback period compared to the EUL for all of the measures that comprise a project, the reasonableness of the costs of the measures, cost-effectiveness from a ratepayer-funding perspective and program influence. Simple payback of this project (3.6 years) exceeds the project EUL of 3 years but the PA did not provide any documentation to show this analysis was done and the project was approved. Going forward, please follow the instruction provided in the custom Project Guidance Document for projects with simple payback greater than EUL.	Missing required information	N/A		


Note or Instruction Number:	CPUC Staff Notes or Instructions:	Instruction Category	Due Date	PA Response	ED Resolution

CPUC Staff Recommendation Definitions	
CPUC Staff Recommendation	Definition
Application ready to proceed without exception	The PA will continue to upload application documents to the CMPA directory through the implementation and claims phases of the project. The PA may proceed to approve the project without waiting for CPUC Staff response. A project is waived from further review at the post-installation stage by CPUC staff, but the PA is responsible for post-installation (IR) review. <u>There will not be conditional approval.</u>
Application ready to proceed with exception(s), as noted	<p>The PA must make revisions or changes as noted in CPUC Staff's review comments. The PA will continue to upload application documents to the CMPA directory through the implementation and claims phases of the project. The PA may proceed to approve the project without waiting for CPUC Staff response. If CPUC Staff decides to perform IR review of a project, CPUC Staff will notify the PA. The scope will be limited to determine if the project was carried out consistent with the application and notes provided during pre-installation review and to obtain information pertaining to whether the eligibility criteria or metrics should be revised.</p> <p>Unless the scope of work presented in project application has changed at IR review, the project will not be reviewed again in the areas specified below. Scope change is defined by substantial changes include significant modifications to the proposed equipment type, size, quantity, configuration, the expansion of a project to include additional retrofits, or the splitting of a project into multiple phases.</p> <p>The following areas will not be reviewed again by CPUC Staff:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Calculation Tool</li> <li>• Calculation Methodology</li> <li>• M&amp;V Plan</li> <li>• Baseline</li> <li>• Eligibility</li> <li>• EUL/RUL</li> <li>• Measure Type</li> <li>• Program Influence</li> </ul>
Application rejected.	<p>The application is rejected as submitted. The PA shall promptly inform the applicant as to the reasons why the project was rejected and the specific recommendations for the conditions under which the project would be approved. CPUC Staff shall provide the reasons for the rejection or request for modification, including each basis as to why the project is rejected, or modification is requested. In addition, CPUC Staff shall provide specific recommendations for the conditions under which the project would be approved.</p> <p>If any party to the project is unsatisfied with the Commission's directions for the project, a dispute resolution process may be initiated by that party. The Commission shall adopt rules for the conduct of the dispute resolution process. – Section 381.2 (g) (3) (F)</p>