

CPUC Staff Ex Ante Review

CPUC Staff Project ID Number	PGE 20 P C 519 PRJ - 02370704 Lighting		
CMPA Directory Link	https://deeresources.info/cmpa/projects/17349		
PA	PGE		
PA Application ID	PRJ - 02370704		
PA Application Executed Date	0		
PA Program ID	a0n1R00001MaD4e		
PA Program Name	LGEAR - San Francisco Direct Install		
PA Program Year	1900		
Date of CPUC Staff Review:	9/17/2020		
PA CMPA Upload Dates Included in this review:			
First PA Upload	8/11/2020		
Second PA Upload	N/A		
Third PA Upload	N/A		
PA Measure Description(s):			
Measure 1	LIGHTING RETROFIT/NEW-INT LED HIGHBAY		
Measure 2			
Measure 3			
Measure 4			
Measure 5			
Measure 6			
Measure 7			
Measure 8			
Measure 9			
Measure 10			
PA Project Description:	0		
PA Ex Ante kW Demand Reduction	0.2		
PA Ex Ante Annual kWh Impacts	1,574.0		
PA Ex Ante Annual Therm Impacts	0.0		
PA Proposed Incentive \$ (to Customer)	\$317.68		
PA Proposed Total Payment to Implementer \$ (not to include the above incentive to customer)			
CPUC Staff Approved Ex Ante kW Demand Reduction			
CPUC Staff Approved Ex Ante Annual kWh Impacts			
CPUC Staff Approved Ex Ante Annual Therm Impacts			
CPUC Staff Primary Reviewer Name			
CPUC Staff Primary Reviewer Firm	SBW Consulting		
CPUC Staff Review Supervisor Name			
CPUC Staff Review Supervisor Firm	SBW Consulting		
PA Primary Reviewer Name			
PA Primary Reviewer Firm			
CPUC Staff Project Manager			
CPUC Staff Policy Authorization (as needed)			
CPUC Staff Recommendation Marked "X":			
X	Application ready to proceed without exception		
	Application ready to proceed with exception(s), as noted		
	Application rejected.		
	Application not ready for review, revised and resubmit as noted		
Action Number:	Summary of CPUC Staff Required Action by the PA:	Action Category	Due Date

1	This is the review of a resubmitted project that was originally rejected by the Custom Project Review team due to the issue identified below. The original Project ID was 519. This project ID is 519a.	N/A	N/A
2	The original project (519) involved accelerated replacement of 3 HID lamps with LED lamps. One of the pre-installation photos showed a HID fixture that was not lit, with a linear fluorescent fixture directly adjacent to this HID fixture that was lit. From the picture and the AR questionnaire (customer responded no to "does your existing system provides sufficient lighting for your need"), it appeared that the LED fixture was replacing a broken and non functional HID lamp. The post-installation picture showed that both the HID fixture and the adjacent linear fluorescent fixture were removed and replaced with a LED fixture. Considering that equipment that are broken or not able to meet the load requirement are not eligible for accelerated replacement and there were no picture provided to show that this was not the case for the other two fixtures we rejected the project. PG&E confirmed that one of the fixtures was previously replaced with a linear fluorescent fixture. They removed that from the calculation and resubmitted the project. PGE also confirmed that remaining two fixtures were functioning HID lamps. The updated calculations are correct and no other action is required.	N/A	N/A

Note or Instruction Number:	CPUC Staff Notes or Instructions:	Instruction Category	Due Date
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			

CPUC Staff Recommendation Definitions	
CPUC Staff Recommendation	Definition
Application ready to proceed without exception	The PA will continue to upload application documents to the CMPA directory through the implementation and claims phases of the project. The PA may proceed to approve the project without waiting for CPUC Staff response. A project is waived from further review at the post-installation stage by CPUC staff, but the PA is responsible for post-installation (IR) review. There will not be conditional approval.
Application ready to proceed with exception(s), as noted	<p>The PA must make revisions or changes as noted in CPUC Staff's review comments. The PA will continue to upload application documents to the CMPA directory through the implementation and claims phases of the project. The PA may proceed to approve the project without waiting for CPUC Staff response. If CPUC Staff decides to perform IR review of a project, CPUC Staff will notify the PA. The scope will be limited to determine if the project was carried out consistent with the application and notes provided during pre-installation review and to obtain information pertaining to whether the eligibility criteria or metrics should be revised.</p> <p>Unless the scope of work presented in project application has changed at IR review, the project will not be reviewed again in the areas specified below. Scope change is defined by substantial changes include significant modifications to the proposed equipment type, size, quantity, configuration, the expansion of a project to include additional retrofits, or the splitting of a project into multiple phases.</p> <p>The following areas will not be reviewed again by CPUC Staff:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Calculation Tool • Calculation Methodology • M&V Plan • Baseline • Eligibility • EUL/RUL • Measure Type • Program Influence
Application rejected.	<p>The application is rejected as submitted. The PA shall promptly inform the applicant as to the reasons why the project was rejected and the specific recommendations for the conditions under which the project would be approved. CPUC Staff shall provide the reasons for the rejection or request for modification, including each basis as to why the project is rejected, or modification is requested. In addition, CPUC Staff shall provide specific recommendations for the conditions under which the project would be approved.</p> <p>If any party to the project is unsatisfied with the Commission's directions for the project, a dispute resolution process may be initiated by that party. The Commission shall adopt rules for the conduct of the dispute resolution process. – Section 381.2 (g) (3) (F)</p>
Application not ready for review, revised and resubmit as noted	The application has deficiency in the supporting documentation and the PA has provided incomplete documentation. The complete documentation has been defined in the Statewide Custom Projects Guidance Document. Please note that this is not a final recommendation from CPUC staff. This recommendation is limited to two requests per application.