

CPUC Staff Ex Ante Review

CPUC Staff Project ID Number	PGE 20 P C 589 PRJ - 02708050 Lighting
CMPA Directory Link	https://deeresources.info/cmpa/projects/18832
PA	PGE
PA Application ID	PRJ - 02708050
PA Application Executed Date	
PA Program ID	PGE2110051
PA Program Name	LGEAR - Staples Direct Install
PA Program Year	
Date of CPUC Staff Review:	2/5/2021
PA CMPA Upload Dates Included in this review:	
First PA Upload	10/27/2020
Second PA Upload	12/8/2020
Third PA Upload	12/29/2020
Fourth PA Upload	
Fifth PA Upload	
Sixth PA Upload	
Seventh PA Upload	
Eighth PA Upload	
PA Measure Description(s):	
Measure 1	LIGHTING RETROFIT/NEW-EXT-LED-POLE MOUNTED
Measure 2	LIGHTING RETROFIT/NEW-EXT-LED-WALL MOUNTED
Measure 3	
Measure 4	
Measure 5	
Measure 6	
Measure 7	
Measure 8	
Measure 9	
Measure 10	
PA Project Description:	0
Bi-Monthly Upload kW Demand Reduction	0.0
Bi-Monthly Upload Annual kWh Impacts	198,923.8
Bi-Monthly Upload Therm Impacts	0.0
PA Proposed Incentive \$ (to Customer)	\$19,411.85
Project Documentation kW Demand Reduction	0.8
Project Documentation Annual kWh Impacts	198,334.6
Project Documentation Annual Therm Impacts	0.0
CPUC Staff Primary Reviewer Name	
CPUC Staff Primary Reviewer Firm	Energy 350
CPUC Staff Review Supervisor Name	
CPUC Staff Review Supervisor Firm	SBW
PA Primary Reviewer Name	
PA Primary Reviewer Firm	
CPUC Staff Project Manager	
CPUC Staff Policy Authorization (as needed)	
CPUC Staff Recommendation:	Application ready to proceed with exception(s), as noted
For rejection, action required:	N/A
M&V Review:	Post M&V Review NOT Required

Action Number:	Summary of CPUC Staff Required Action by the PA:	Action Category	PA Response	ED Resolution
1	Similar to other MLC projects, savings estimated in the MLC are slightly different than savings included in the bi-monthly submission. Please use the correct savings values (see above for Project Documentation Annual kWh Impacts and Project Documentation kW Demand Reduction) for the quarterly submission.	Did not follow previous CPUC guidance		
2	Similar to other MLC projects, RUL of existing equipment is estimated based on the EUL of installed equipment (LED lighting) instead of EUL of existing equipment (HID lighting)	Did not follow previous CPUC guidance		

3	This project used an outdated Accelerated Retirement Questionnaire (ARQ). In response to one of our supplemental data requests, the PA provided the updated/approved version of the ARQ. However, the PA still did not meet one of the requirements listed in the ARQ which requires low rigor projects (\$7500-25,000 incentive) to include pre-install photos or a short video of overall lighting system (on), and individual lamp styles.	Did not follow previous CPUC guidance		

Note or Instruction Number:	CPUC Staff Notes or Instructions:	Instruction Category	PA Response	ED Resolution

CPUC Staff Recommendation Definitions	
CPUC Staff Recommendation	Definition
Application ready to proceed without exception	The PA will continue to upload application documents to the CMPA directory through the implementation and claims phases of the project. The PA may proceed to approve the project without waiting for CPUC Staff response. A project is waived from further review at the post-installation stage by CPUC staff, but the PA is responsible for post-installation (IR) review. There will not be conditional approval.
Application ready to proceed with exception(s), as noted	<p>The PA must make revisions or changes as noted in CPUC Staff's review comments before signed agreement with customer. The PA will continue to upload application documents to the CMPA directory through the implementation and claims phases of the project. The PA may proceed to approve the project without waiting for CPUC Staff response. If CPUC Staff decides to perform IR review of a project, CPUC Staff will notify the PA. The scope will be limited to determine if the project was carried out consistent with the application and notes provided during pre-installation review and to obtain information pertaining to whether the eligibility criteria or metrics should be revised.</p> <p>Unless the scope of work presented in project application has changed at IR review, the project will not be reviewed again in the areas specified below. Scope change is defined by substantial changes include significant modifications to the proposed equipment type, size, quantity, configuration, the expansion of a project to include additional retrofits, or the splitting of a project into multiple phases.</p> <p>The following areas will not be reviewed again by CPUC Staff:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Calculation Tool • Calculation Methodology • M&V Plan • Baseline • Eligibility • EUL/RUL • Measure Type • Program Influence
Application rejected.	<p>The application is rejected as submitted. The PA shall promptly inform the applicant as to the reasons why the project was rejected and the specific recommendations for the conditions under which the project would be approved. CPUC Staff shall provide the reasons for the rejection or request for modification, including each basis as to why the project is rejected, or modification is requested. In addition, CPUC Staff shall provide specific recommendations for the conditions under which the project would be approved.</p> <p>If any party to the project is unsatisfied with the Commission's directions for the project, a dispute resolution process may be initiated by that party. The Commission shall adopt rules for the conduct of the dispute resolution process. – Section 381.2 (g) (3) (F)</p>