

CPUC Staff Ex Ante Review

CPUC Staff Project ID Number	PGE 20 T C 606 Site Specific OBF - 9586 HOPP
CMPA Directory Link	https://deeresources.info/cmpa/projects/18911
PA	PGE
PA Application ID	Site Specific OBF - 9586
PA Application Executed Date	
PA Program ID	OBFSSPGE19
PA Program Name	On-Bill Finance (Site Specific)
PA Program Year	2020
Date of CPUC Staff Review:	2/23/2021
PA CMPA Upload Dates Included in this review:	
First PA Upload	1/5/2021
Second PA Upload	1/12/2021
Third PA Upload	
Fourth PA Upload	
Fifth PA Upload	
Sixth PA Upload	
Seventh PA Upload	
Eighth PA Upload	
PA Measure Description(s):	
Measure 1	
Measure 2	
Measure 3	
Measure 4	
Measure 5	
Measure 6	
Measure 7	
Measure 8	
Measure 9	
Measure 10	
PA Project Description:	0
Bi-Monthly Upload kW Demand Reduction	22.2
Bi-Monthly Upload Annual kWh Impacts	90,944.0
Bi-Monthly Upload Therm Impacts	0.0
PA Proposed Incentive \$ (to Customer)	\$0.00
Project Documentation kW Demand Reduction	0.0
Project Documentation Annual kWh Impacts	86,985.0
Project Documentation Annual Therm Impacts	0.0
CPUC Staff Primary Reviewer Name	
CPUC Staff Primary Reviewer Firm	SBW Consulting
CPUC Staff Review Supervisor Name	
CPUC Staff Review Supervisor Firm	BMI
PA Primary Reviewer Name	
PA Primary Reviewer Firm	
CPUC Staff Project Manager	
CPUC Staff Policy Authorization (as needed)	
CPUC Staff Recommendation:	Advisory
For rejection, action required:	N/A
M&V Review:	Post M&V Review NOT Required

Action Number:	Summary of CPUC Staff Required Action by the PA:	Action Category	PA Response	ED Resolution
1	Measure description not populated in Bi-monthly upload. Please investigate and correct as needed for future submittals under this program.	Other - data missing in bimonthly upload		
2	AR equipment viability evidence not provided as required under Resolution E-5115. Since there are no incentives paid, this project falls into the very low rigor tier (incentives <\$7500) which requires a customer affidavit (See Table 5).	ER preponderance of evidence		

3	AR project RUL should be 1/3 of the EUL of the existing fixtures. Existing metal halide fixture EUL is 15 years; RUL = 5yr (DEER EUL ID Oltg-MH). Revise RUL from 4 yr to 5 yr. Note no second baseline savings since LED streelights are ISP. Project EUL = RUL = 5 yr.	EUL/RUL		
4	Modified lighting calculator submitted under supplemental data request shows savings reduced from 90,944 kWh and 22.2 kW to 86985 kWh and 0 kW. Please update savings claim prior to upload to CEDARS.	Calculation tool		

Note or Instruction Number:	CPUC Staff Notes or Instructions:	Instruction Category	PA Response	ED Resolution
1	OBF HOPP review advisory only	Other - HOPP Advisory Review		

CPUC Staff Recommendation Definitions	
CPUC Staff Recommendation	Definition
Application ready to proceed without exception	The PA will continue to upload application documents to the CMPA directory through the implementation and claims phases of the project. The PA may proceed to approve the project without waiting for CPUC Staff response. A project is waived from further review at the post-installation stage by CPUC staff, but the PA is responsible for post-installation (IR) review. There will not be conditional approval.
Application ready to proceed with exception(s), as noted	<p>The PA must make revisions or changes as noted in CPUC Staff's review comments before signed agreement with customer. The PA will continue to upload application documents to the CMPA directory through the implementation and claims phases of the project. The PA may proceed to approve the project without waiting for CPUC Staff response. If CPUC Staff decides to perform IR review of a project, CPUC Staff will notify the PA. The scope will be limited to determine if the project was carried out consistent with the application and notes provided during pre-installation review and to obtain information pertaining to whether the eligibility criteria or metrics should be revised.</p> <p>Unless the scope of work presented in project application has changed at IR review, the project will not be reviewed again in the areas specified below. Scope change is defined by substantial changes include significant modifications to the proposed equipment type, size, quantity, configuration, the expansion of a project to include additional retrofits, or the splitting of a project into multiple phases.</p> <p>The following areas will not be reviewed again by CPUC Staff:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Calculation Tool • Calculation Methodology • M&V Plan • Baseline • Eligibility • EUL/RUL • Measure Type • Program Influence
Application rejected.	<p>The application is rejected as submitted. The PA shall promptly inform the applicant as to the reasons why the project was rejected and the specific recommendations for the conditions under which the project would be approved. CPUC Staff shall provide the reasons for the rejection or request for modification, including each basis as to why the project is rejected, or modification is requested. In addition, CPUC Staff shall provide specific recommendations for the conditions under which the project would be approved.</p> <p>If any party to the project is unsatisfied with the Commission's directions for the project, a dispute resolution process may be initiated by that party. The Commission shall adopt rules for the conduct of the dispute resolution process. – Section 381.2 (g) (3) (F)</p>