

CPUC Staff Ex Ante Review

CPUC Staff Project ID Number	PGE 21 C A 574 PRJ - 02537606 Lighting
CMPA Directory Link	https://deeresources.info/cmpa/projects/18780
PA	PGE
PA Application ID	PRJ - 02537606
PA Application Executed Date	
PA Program ID	PGE21031
PA Program Name	Agricultural Calculated Incentives - Customized Incentive Program
PA Program Year	
Date of CPUC Staff Review:	1/21/2021
PA CMPA Upload Dates Included in this review:	
First PA Upload	N/A
Second PA Upload	N/A
Third PA Upload	N/A
Fourth PA Upload	
Fifth PA Upload	
Sixth PA Upload	
Seventh PA Upload	
Eighth PA Upload	
PA Measure Description(s):	
Measure 1	LIGHTING RETROFIT/NEW-INT LED HORTICULTURAL
Measure 2	LIGHTING RETROFIT/NEW-INT LED HORTICULTURAL
Measure 3	
Measure 4	
Measure 5	
Measure 6	
Measure 7	
Measure 8	
Measure 9	
Measure 10	
PA Project Description:	0
Bi-Monthly Upload kW Demand Reduction	190.5
Bi-Monthly Upload Annual kWh Impacts	916,727.0
Bi-Monthly Upload Therm Impacts	0.0
PA Proposed Incentive \$ (to Customer)	\$104,303.76
Project Documentation kW Demand Reduction	186.2
Project Documentation Annual kWh Impacts	843,399.0
Project Documentation Annual Therm Impacts	0.0
CPUC Staff Primary Reviewer Name	
CPUC Staff Primary Reviewer Firm	SBW Consulting
CPUC Staff Review Supervisor Name	
CPUC Staff Review Supervisor Firm	SBW Consulting
PA Primary Reviewer Name	
PA Primary Reviewer Firm	
CPUC Staff Project Manager	
CPUC Staff Policy Authorization (as needed)	
CPUC Staff Recommendation:	Application ready to proceed with exception(s), as noted
For rejection, action required:	N/A
M&V Review:	Post M&V Review NOT Required

Action Number:	Summary of CPUC Staff Required Action by the PA:	Action Category	PA Response	ED Resolution
1	This project used HID fixtures as the baseline for the new LED lighting that will be installed in a new indoor cannabis grow facility. CPUC staff approves this project with this assumption but as mentioned in the response to PGE Early Opinion for this project, we request PGE to conduct an ISP study to determine the appropriate baseline for this measure for future projects following the guidelines provided in the ISP Guidance document V.3.0.	Baseline		
2	During the review of project 574 and in response to our data requests, the PA updated hours of use, fixture counts and costs. These updates resulted in reduced savings of (843,399 kWh and 186.2 kW) and incentives (\$95,474). The resubmission of project 574 did not update these values and used original incorrect savings and incentives. Please update these values in the quarterly submissions.	Analysis assumptions		

Note or Instruction Number:	CPUC Staff Notes or Instructions:	Instruction Category	PA Response	ED Resolution

CPUC Staff Recommendation Definitions	
CPUC Staff Recommendation	Definition
Application ready to proceed without exception	The PA will continue to upload application documents to the CMPA directory through the implementation and claims phases of the project. The PA may proceed to approve the project without waiting for CPUC Staff response. A project is waived from further review at the post-installation stage by CPUC staff, but the PA is responsible for post-installation (IR) review. There will not be conditional approval.
Application ready to proceed with exception(s), as noted	<p>The PA must make revisions or changes as noted in CPUC Staff's review comments before signed agreement with customer. The PA will continue to upload application documents to the CMPA directory through the implementation and claims phases of the project. The PA may proceed to approve the project without waiting for CPUC Staff response. If CPUC Staff decides to perform IR review of a project, CPUC Staff will notify the PA. The scope will be limited to determine if the project was carried out consistent with the application and notes provided during pre-installation review and to obtain information pertaining to whether the eligibility criteria or metrics should be revised.</p> <p>Unless the scope of work presented in project application has changed at IR review, the project will not be reviewed again in the areas specified below. Scope change is defined by substantial changes include significant modifications to the proposed equipment type, size, quantity, configuration, the expansion of a project to include additional retrofits, or the splitting of a project into multiple phases.</p> <p>The following areas will not be reviewed again by CPUC Staff:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Calculation Tool • Calculation Methodology • M&V Plan • Baseline • Eligibility • EUL/RUL • Measure Type • Program Influence
Application rejected.	<p>The application is rejected as submitted. The PA shall promptly inform the applicant as to the reasons why the project was rejected and the specific recommendations for the conditions under which the project would be approved. CPUC Staff shall provide the reasons for the rejection or request for modification, including each basis as to why the project is rejected, or modification is requested. In addition, CPUC Staff shall provide specific recommendations for the conditions under which the project would be approved.</p> <p>If any party to the project is unsatisfied with the Commission's directions for the project, a dispute resolution process may be initiated by that party. The Commission shall adopt rules for the conduct of the dispute resolution process. – Section 381.2 (g) (3) (F)</p>