CPUC Staff Ex Ante Review

CPUC Staff Project ID Number	PGE 22 T I 757 PRJ - 01562927 Process
CMPA Directory Link	FGE_22_1_1_/3/_FRJ - 0130292/_F10cess
PA	PGE
PA Application ID	0
PA Application Executed Date	Autofill
PA Program ID	PGE Ind 002
PA Program Name	CLEAResult - Business Energy Performance Ind - Customized Retrofit
PA Program Year	CLEARESUIT - Business Energy Performance ind - Customized Retront
Date of CPUC Staff Review:	
PA CMPA Upload Dates Included in this review:	
First PA Upload	#N/A
Second PA Upload	#N/A
Third PA Upload	#N/A
Fourth PA Upload	#N/A
Fifth PA Upload	
Sixth PA Upload	
Seventh PA Upload	
Eighth PA Upload	
PA Measure Description(s):	
Measure 1	PROCESS - PUMPS - VFD - To-CodeStd
Measure 2	
Measure 3	PROCESS COOLING - CONTROLS - To-CodeStd
Measure 4	
Measure 5	
Measure 6	
Measure 7	
Measure 8	
Measure 9	
Measure 10	
ivieasure 10	
PA Project Description:	Installation of VFDs on two 250-hp Chilled Water Pumps and a 25-hp
. At Toject Description:	Cooling Tower fan for process loads in a MLI building in CZ03
Bi-Monthly Upload kW Demand Reduction	89.3
Bi-Monthly Upload Annual kWh Impacts	722,357.3
Bi-Monthly Upload Therm Impacts	0.0
PA Proposed Incentive \$ (to Customer)	\$68,336.09
Project Documentation kW Demand Reduction	89.3
Project Documentation Annual kWh Impacts	722,357.0
Project Documentation Annual Therm Impacts	0.0
Project Documentation Incentive \$ (to Customer)	68,336.1
CPUC Staff Primary Reviewer Name	
CPUC Staff Primary Reviewer Firm	DNV
CPUC Staff Review Supervisor Name	
CPUC Staff Review Supervisor Firm	Quantum
PA Primary Reviewer Name	
PA Primary Reviewer Firm	
CPUC Staff Project Manager	
CPUC Staff Policy Authorization (as needed)	
CPUC Staff Recommendation:	Application ready to proceed with exception(s), as noted
CPUC Staff Recommendation: For rejection, action required:	Application ready to proceed with exception(s), as noted N/A

Action Number:	Summary of CPUC Staff Required Action by the PA:	Action Category	PA Response	ED Resolution
1	Revise 757-1 EUL to 5 years. The Guidance Document specifies that only "newly installed or replaced" host equipment allows for use of full host EUL as AOE EUL. The host pumps were serviced, not replaced, and therefore do not qualify for this exception. The VFD EUL should reflect 1/3 of the host equipment EUL, or 5 years in this case.	EUL/RUL		

•		

Note or Instruction Number:	CPUC Staff Notes or Instructions:	Instruction Category	PA Response	ED Resolution

CPUC Staff R	ecommendation Definitions
CPUC Staff Recommendation	Definition
Application ready to proceed without exception	The PA will continue to upload application documents to the CMPA directory through the implementation and claims phases of the project. The PA may proceed to approve the project without waiting for CPUC Staff response. A project is waived from further review at the post-installation stage by CPUC staff, but the PA is responsible for post-installation (IR) review. There will not
Application ready to proceed with exception(s), as noted	be conditional approval. The PA must make revisions or changes as noted in CPUC Staff's review comments before signed agreement with customer. The PA will continue to upload application documents to the CMPA directory through the implementation and claims phases of the project. The PA may proceed to approve the project without waiting for CPUC Staff response. If CPUC Staff decides to perform IR review of a project, CPUC Staff will notify the PA. The scope will be limited to determine if the project was carried out consistent with the application and notes provided during pre-installation review and to obtain information pertaining to whether the eligibility criteria or metrics should be revised. Unless the scope of work presented in project application has changed at IR review, the project will not be reviewed again in the areas specified below. Scope change is defined by substantial changes include significant modifications to the proposed equipment type, size, quantity, configuration, the expansion of a project to include additional retrofits, or the splitting of a project into multiple phases. The following areas will not be reviewed again by CPUC Staff: Calculation Tool Calculation Methodology M&V Plan Baseline Eligibility EUL/RUL Measure Type Program Influence
Application rejected.	The application is rejected as submitted. The PA shall promptly inform the applicant as to the reasons why the project was rejected and the specific recommendations for the conditions under which the project would be approved. CPUC Staff shall provide the reasons for the rejection or request for modification, including each basis as to why the project is rejected, or modification is requested. In addition, CPUC Staff shall provide specific recommendations for the conditions under which the project would be approved. If any party to the project is unsatisfied with the Commission's directions for the project, a dispute resolution process may be initiated by that party. The
	Commission shall adopt rules for the conduct of the dispute resolution
Advisory.	process. – Section 381.2 (g) (3) (F)
	The PA is not formally required to follow instructions or recommendations given in an Advisory review. However, issues found will affect ESPI scoring and may come up again in Ex-Post review.