

CPUC Staff Ex Ante Review

CPUC Staff Project ID Number	SDGE 20_C 424 [REDACTED] Process			
CMPA Directory Link	[REDACTED]			
PA	SDGE			
PA Application ID	[REDACTED]			
PA Application Executed Date	01/06/2020			
PA Program ID	SDGE3231			
PA Program Name	3231 - SW-IND-Calculated Incentives-Calc - (EEBI)			
PA Program Year	2020			
Date of CPUC Staff Review:	3/25/2020			
PA CMPA Upload Dates Included in this review:	[REDACTED]			
First PA Upload	2/26/2020			
Second PA Upload	3/17/2020			
Third PA Upload	3/25/2020			
PA Measure Description(s):	[REDACTED]			
Measure 1	463202: Process Distribution - ASD/VFD - REA			
Measure 2				
Measure 3				
Measure 4				
Measure 5				
Measure 6				
Measure 7				
Measure 8				
Measure 9				
Measure 10				
PA Project Description:	VFD reduced size new compressor			
PA Ex Ante kW Demand Reduction	[REDACTED]			
PA Ex Ante Annual kWh Impacts	[REDACTED]			
PA Ex Ante Annual Therm Impacts	[REDACTED]			
PA Proposed Incentive \$ (to Customer)	[REDACTED]			
PA Proposed Total Payment to Implementer \$ (not to include the above incentive to customer)	[REDACTED]			
CPUC Staff Approved Ex Ante kW Demand Reduction	[REDACTED]			
CPUC Staff Approved Ex Ante Annual kWh Impacts	[REDACTED]			
CPUC Staff Approved Ex Ante Annual Therm Impacts	[REDACTED]			
CPUC Staff Primary Reviewer Name	Jeffrey Davis			
CPUC Staff Primary Reviewer Firm	Energy 350			
CPUC Staff Review Supervisor Name	Sepideh Shahinfard			
CPUC Staff Review Supervisor Firm	SBW Consulting			
PA Primary Reviewer Name	Wang, Jessie			
PA Primary Reviewer Firm	SDG&E			
CPUC Staff Project Manager	Peter Lai			
CPUC Staff Policy Authorization (as needed)	[REDACTED]			
CPUC Staff Recommendation Marked "X":	[REDACTED]			
	Application ready to proceed without exception			
	Application ready to proceed with exception(s), as noted			
X	Application rejected.			
	Application not ready for review, revised and resubmit as noted			
Action Number:	Summary of CPUC Staff Required Action by the PA:	Action Category	Due Date	SDGE Response

1	The project involved replacement of a 100hp constant speed compressor with a 15hp compressor equipped with a variable speed drive. Please provide documentation to show that the new equipment is able to meet the equivalent level of service. If the new compressor provides reduced levels of service compared to the baseline, energy savings must be normalized to comparable levels of service.	Eligibility	Within 30 days of this disposition
2	AOE measure application type (MAT) is not appropriate for this project. The AOE must not be able to operate on its own. Please update the MAT to the appropriate replacement MAT (NR or AR), provide discussions on how the MAT was determined and updated the baseline accordingly.	Measure type	Within 30 days of this disposition

Note or Instruction Number:	CPUC Staff Notes or Instructions:	Instruction Category	Due Date
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			

CPUC Staff Recommendation Definitions	
CPUC Staff Recommendation	Definition
Application ready to proceed without exception	The PA will continue to upload application documents to the CMPA directory through the implementation and claims phases of the project. The PA may proceed to approve the project without waiting for CPUC Staff response. A project is waived from further review at the post-installation stage by CPUC staff, but the PA is responsible for post-installation (IR) review. There will not be conditional approval.
Application ready to proceed with exception(s), as noted	<p>The PA must make revisions or changes as noted in CPUC Staff's review comments. The PA will continue to upload application documents to the CMPA directory through the implementation and claims phases of the project. The PA may proceed to approve the project without waiting for CPUC Staff response. If CPUC Staff decides to perform IR review of a project, CPUC Staff will notify the PA. The scope will be limited to determine if the project was carried out consistent with the application and notes provided during pre-installation review and to obtain information pertaining to whether the eligibility criteria or metrics should be revised.</p> <p>Unless the scope of work presented in project application has changed at IR review, the project will not be reviewed again in the areas specified below. Scope change is defined by substantial changes include significant modifications to the proposed equipment type, size, quantity, configuration, the expansion of a project to include additional retrofits, or the splitting of a project into multiple phases.</p> <p>The following areas will not be reviewed again by CPUC Staff:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Calculation Tool • Calculation Methodology • M&V Plan • Baseline • Eligibility • EUL/RUL • Measure Type • Program Influence
Application rejected.	<p>The application is rejected as submitted. The PA shall promptly inform the applicant as to the reasons why the project was rejected and the specific recommendations for the conditions under which the project would be approved. CPUC Staff shall provide the reasons for the rejection or request for modification, including each basis as to why the project is rejected, or modification is requested. In addition, CPUC Staff shall provide specific recommendations for the conditions under which the project would be approved.</p> <p>If any party to the project is unsatisfied with the Commission's directions for the project, a dispute resolution process may be initiated by that party. The Commission shall adopt rules for the conduct of the dispute resolution process. – Section 381.2 (g) (3) (F)</p>
Application not ready for review, revised and resubmit as noted	The application has deficiency in the supporting documentation and the PA has provided incomplete documentation. The complete documentation has been defined in the Statewide Custom Projects Guidance Document. Please note that this is not a final recommendation from CPUC staff. This recommendation is limited to two requests per application.