

CPUC Staff Ex Ante Review

CPUC Staff Project ID Number	PGE-15-T-I-0040_1440-15-2929_Tomato Evap		
CMPA Directory Link	https://deeresources.info/cmpa/projects/12170		
PA	PGE		
PA Application ID	PRJ - 00110576		
PA Application Executed Date	7/27/2015		
PA Program ID	PGE21039		
PA Program Name	Comprehensive Food Process Audit & Resource Efficiency Pgm-		
PA Program Year			
Date of CPUC Staff Review:	11/19/2019		
PA CMPA Upload Dates Included in this review:			
First PA Upload	12/4/2015		
Second PA Upload	Multiple uploads during 2016-17		
Third PA Upload	7/25/2019		
PA Measure Description(s):			
Measure 1	PROCESS RETROFIT/NEW-OTHER GAS-MODIFY PROCESS		
Measure 2			
Measure 3			
Measure 4			
Measure 5			
Measure 6			
Measure 7			
Measure 8			
Measure 9			
Measure 10			
PA Project Description:	Early retirement of a Tomato Processor's single-effect evaporator with a		
PA Ex Ante kW Demand Reduction	-123.4		
PA Ex Ante Annual kWh Impacts	-196,019.6		
PA Ex Ante Annual Therm Impacts	1,089,550.8		
PA Proposed Incentive \$ (to Customer)	\$1,361,938.49		
PA Proposed Total Payment to Implementer \$ (not to include the above incentive to customer)	0		
CPUC Staff Approved Ex Ante kW Demand Reduction	-123.4		
CPUC Staff Approved Ex Ante Annual kWh Impacts	-196,019.6		
CPUC Staff Approved Ex Ante Annual Therm Impacts	1,089,550.8		
CPUC Staff Primary Reviewer Name			
CPUC Staff Primary Reviewer Firm	SBW		
CPUC Staff Review Supervisor Name			
CPUC Staff Review Supervisor Firm	BMI		
PA Primary Reviewer Name			
PA Primary Reviewer Firm			
CPUC Staff Project Manager			
CPUC Staff Policy Authorization (as needed)			
CPUC Staff Recommendation Marked "X":			
	Application ready to proceed without exception		
X	Application ready to proceed with exception(s), as noted		
	Application rejected.		
	Application not ready for review, revised and resubmit as noted		
Action Number:	Summary of CPUC Staff Required Action by the PA:	Action Category	Due Date

1	The bi-monthly incentive doesn't match incentive from project report. Please verify correct value.	Other - correct conflicts between project documentation and bi-monthly upload	
2	The pre-installation trend data is from 2014. Please update the baseline data and incorporate post installation data as described in the M&V plan. Please upload the M&V results and final savings calculations once the post M&V is completed.	M&V plan	
3	Site address different than address in bi-monthly upload. Please confirm correct address	Other - correct conflicts between project documentation and bi-monthly upload	
4	Project energy savings and costs in the Cost Documentation file do not match the values in the bimonthly upload or Project Report. Please verify the correct values and resubmit Cost Documentation document.	Analysis Assumptions	

Note or Instruction Number:	CPUC Staff Notes or Instructions:	Instruction Category	Due Date
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			

CPUC Staff Recommendation Definitions	
CPUC Staff Recommendation	Definition
Application ready to proceed without exception	The PA will continue to upload application documents to the CMPA directory through the implementation and claims phases of the project. The PA may proceed to approve the project without waiting for CPUC Staff response. A project is waived from further review at the post-installation stage by CPUC staff, but the PA is responsible for post-installation (IR) review. There will not be conditional approval.
Application ready to proceed with exception(s), as noted	<p>The PA must make revisions or changes as noted in CPUC Staff's review comments. The PA will continue to upload application documents to the CMPA directory through the implementation and claims phases of the project. The PA may proceed to approve the project without waiting for CPUC Staff response. If CPUC Staff decides to perform IR review of a project, CPUC Staff will notify the PA. The scope will be limited to determine if the project was carried out consistent with the application and notes provided during pre-installation review and to obtain information pertaining to whether the eligibility criteria or metrics should be revised.</p> <p>Unless the scope of work presented in project application has changed at IR review, the project will not be reviewed again in the areas specified below. Scope change is defined by substantial changes include significant modifications to the proposed equipment type, size, quantity, configuration, the expansion of a project to include additional retrofits, or the splitting of a project into multiple phases.</p> <p>The following areas will not be reviewed again by CPUC Staff:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Calculation Tool • Calculation Methodology • M&V Plan • Baseline • Eligibility • EUL/RUL • Measure Type • Program Influence
Application rejected.	<p>The application is rejected as submitted. The PA shall promptly inform the applicant as to the reasons why the project was rejected and the specific recommendations for the conditions under which the project would be approved. CPUC Staff shall provide the reasons for the rejection or request for modification, including each basis as to why the project is rejected, or modification is requested. In addition, CPUC Staff shall provide specific recommendations for the conditions under which the project would be approved.</p> <p>If any party to the project is unsatisfied with the Commission's directions for the project, a dispute resolution process may be initiated by that party. The Commission shall adopt rules for the conduct of the dispute resolution process. – Section 381.2 (g) (3) (F)</p>
Application not ready for review, revised and resubmit as noted	The application has deficiency in the supporting documentation and the PA has provided incomplete documentation. The complete documentation has been defined in the Statewide Custom Projects Guidance Document. Please note that this is not a final recommendation from CPUC staff. This recommendation is limited to two requests per application.