

CPUC Staff Ex Ante Review

CPUC Staff Project ID Number	SCE 20 C I 374 [REDACTED]
CMPA Directory Link	https://deeresources.info/accounts/login?next=/cmpa/projects/15248
PA	SCE
PA Application ID	[REDACTED]
PA Application Executed Date	
PA Program ID	SCE-13-SW-003D
PA Program Name	Industrial Strategic Management Program (SEM)
PA Program Year	2019
Date of CPUC Staff Review:	2/5/2020
PA CMPA Upload Dates Included in this review:	
First PA Upload	12/17/2019
Second PA Upload	N/A
Third PA Upload	N/A
PA Measure Description(s):	
Measure 1	[SEM O&M projects ONLY] Whole Building behavioral, operational, and process efficiency optimization
Measure 2	
Measure 3	
Measure 4	
Measure 5	
Measure 6	
Measure 7	
Measure 8	
Measure 9	
Measure 10	
PA Project Description:	SCE and SoCalGas contracted with [REDACTED] to conduct a technical review of the electric and natural gas savings for each of the eight projects in the SEM program.
PA Ex Ante kW Demand Reduction	[REDACTED]
PA Ex Ante Annual kWh Impacts	[REDACTED]
PA Ex Ante Annual Therm Impacts	[REDACTED]
PA Proposed Incentive \$ (to Customer)	\$ [REDACTED]
PA Proposed Total Payment to Implementer \$ (not to include the above incentive to customer)	
CPUC Staff Approved Ex Ante kW Demand Reduction	
CPUC Staff Approved Ex Ante Annual kWh Impacts	
CPUC Staff Approved Ex Ante Annual Therm Impacts	
CPUC Staff Primary Reviewer Name	[REDACTED]
CPUC Staff Primary Reviewer Firm	[REDACTED]
CPUC Staff Review Supervisor Name	[REDACTED]
CPUC Staff Review Supervisor Firm	[REDACTED]
PA Primary Reviewer Name	[REDACTED]
PA Primary Reviewer Firm	[REDACTED]
CPUC Staff Project Manager	[REDACTED]
CPUC Staff Policy Authorization (as needed)	
CPUC Staff Recommendation Marked "X":	
	Application ready to proceed without exception
x	Application ready to proceed with exception(s), as noted
	Application rejected.
	Application not ready for review, revised and resubmit as noted

Action Number:	Summary of CPUC Staff Required Action by the PA:	Action Category	Due Date
----------------	--	-----------------	----------

Note or Instruction Number:	CPUC Staff Notes or Instructions:	Instruction Category	Due Date
1	A significant amount of negative electric savings are present in the first performance period (██████████). If this value were annualized based on the past 6 months of results it would be equivalent to ██████████ kWh/yr. This is equal to ███% of site energy consumption.		
2	The gas model has an FSU of ███%. The savings result is relatively small but there are no completed gas savings opportunities listed in the opportunity register to support a savings claim.		
3	In the gas model due to the high FSU and low savings fraction, we recommend the application of bottom up engineering calculations.		
4	Starting in March of 2019 the implementer's weather data used in the model appears to be inaccurate. This site is located in close proximity to a NOAA weather station, ██████████. The figure to the right illustrates a comparison of the ██████████ weather data and the data used by the implementer. We recreated the gas model and the savings result reduces from ██████ proposed to ██████ therms.		
5	Reviewers noted the following additional concerns: 1) The report states the site wasn't engaged 2) The report states they did not implement any opportunities 3) Their negative trajectory is substantial. Annualized it is ███% of site energy consumption, it's unlikely they can recover in Y2.		

CPUC Staff Recommendation Definitions	
CPUC Staff Recommendation	Definition
Application ready to proceed without exception	The PA will continue to upload application documents to the CMPA directory through the implementation and claims phases of the project. The PA may proceed to approve the project without waiting for CPUC Staff response. A project is waived from further review at the post-installation stage by CPUC staff, but the PA is responsible for post-installation (IR) review. There will not be conditional approval.
Application ready to proceed with exception(s), as noted	<p>The PA must make revisions or changes as noted in CPUC Staff's review comments. The PA will continue to upload application documents to the CMPA directory through the implementation and claims phases of the project. The PA may proceed to approve the project without waiting for CPUC Staff response. If CPUC Staff decides to perform IR review of a project, CPUC Staff will notify the PA. The scope will be limited to determine if the project was carried out consistent with the application and notes provided during pre-installation review and to obtain information pertaining to whether the eligibility criteria or metrics should be revised.</p> <p>Unless the scope of work presented in project application has changed at IR review, the project will not be reviewed again in the areas specified below. Scope change is defined by substantial changes include significant modifications to the proposed equipment type, size, quantity, configuration, the expansion of a project to include additional retrofits, or the splitting of a project into multiple phases.</p> <p>The following areas will not be reviewed again by CPUC Staff:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Calculation Tool • Calculation Methodology • M&V Plan • Baseline • Eligibility • EUL/RUL • Measure Type • Program Influence
Application rejected.	<p>The application is rejected as submitted. The PA shall promptly inform the applicant as to the reasons why the project was rejected and the specific recommendations for the conditions under which the project would be approved. CPUC Staff shall provide the reasons for the rejection or request for modification, including each basis as to why the project is rejected, or modification is requested. In addition, CPUC Staff shall provide specific recommendations for the conditions under which the project would be approved.</p> <p>If any party to the project is unsatisfied with the Commission's directions for the project, a dispute resolution process may be initiated by that party. The Commission shall adopt rules for the conduct of the dispute resolution process. – Section 381.2 (g) (3) (F)</p>
Application not ready for review, revised and resubmit as noted	The application has deficiency in the supporting documentation and the PA has provided incomplete documentation. The complete documentation has been defined in the Statewide Custom Projects Guidance Document. Please note that this is not a final recommendation from CPUC staff. This recommendation is limited to two requests per application.