CPUC Staff Ex Ante Review

CPUC Staff Project ID Number	SCE_21_P_C_430_b_
CMPA Directory Link	https://deeresources.info/cmpa/projects/16187
PA	SCE
PA Application ID	
PA Application Executed Date	2/10/2020
PA Program ID	SCE-13-L-003A
PA Program Name	
PA Program Year	2020
Date of CPUC Staff Review:	3/9/2021
PA CMPA Upload Dates Included in this review:	
First PA Upload	1/13/2021
Second PA Upload	#N/A
Third PA Upload	#N/A
Fourth PA Upload	
Fifth PA Upload	
Sixth PA Upload	
Seventh PA Upload	
Eighth PA Upload	
PA Measure Description(s):	
Measure 1	New Construction - Above Code Comprehens
Measure 2	
Measure 3	
Measure 4	
Measure 5	
Measure 6	
Measure 7	
Measure 8	
Measure 9	
Measure 10	
PA Project Description:	0
Bi-Monthly Upload kW Demand Reduction	
Bi-Monthly Upload Annual kWh Impacts	
Bi-Monthly Upload Therm Impacts	
PA Proposed Incentive \$ (to Customer)	
Project Documentation kW Demand Reduction	
Project Documentation Annual kWh Impacts	
Project Documentation Annual Therm Impacts	
CPUC Staff Primary Reviewer Name	
CPUC Staff Primary Reviewer Firm	
CPUC Staff Review Supervisor Name	
CPUC Staff Review Supervisor Firm	
PA Primary Reviewer Name	
PA Primary Reviewer Firm	
CPUC Staff Project Manager	
CPUC Staff Policy Authorization (as needed)	
CPUC Staff Recommendation:	Application ready to proceed with exception(s), as noted
For rejection, action required:	N/A
M&V Review:	Post M&V Review (M&V Results and Final Calculations) Required



ĺ	Action Number:	Summary of CPUC Staff Required Action by the PA:	Action Category	PA Response	ED Resolution
	ı	For the StandardNC run, EPro defined a central boiler and a hot water loop with a HCIRC-HEAD =	Calculation tool		
	2	Standard building sizing calculations not carried over into the StandardNC.doe file. Revise the HVAC system cooling capacity in the StandardNC.doe file to match the EPro Standard building cooling capacity reported in the EPro user interface.	Calculation tool		
I					
ŀ					
ľ					
ĺ					
Į					

Note or Instruction Number:	CPUC Staff Notes or Instructions:	Instruction Category	PA Response	ED Resolution

CPUC Staff Recommendation Definitions					
CPUC Staff Recommendation	Definition				
Application ready to proceed without exception	The PA will continue to upload application documents to the CMPA directory through the implementation and claims phases of the project. The PA may proceed to approve the project without waiting for CPUC Staff response. A project is waived from further review at the post-installation stage by CPUC staff, but the PA is responsible for post-installation (IR) review. There will not be conditional approval.				
Application ready to proceed with exception(s), as noted	The PA must make revisions or changes as noted in CPUC Staff's review comments before signed agreement with customer. The PA will continue to upload application documents to the CMPA directory through the implementation and claims phases of the project. The PA may proceed to approve the project without waiting for CPUC Staff response. If CPUC Staff decides to perform IR review of a project, CPUC Staff will notify the PA. The scope will be limited to determine if the project was carried out consistent with the application and notes provided during pre-installation review and to obtain information pertaining to whether the eligibility criteria or metrics should be revised. Unless the scope of work presented in project application has changed at IR review, the project will not be reviewed again in the areas specified below. Scope change is defined by substantial changes include significant modifications to the proposed equipment type, size, quantity, configuration, the expansion of a project to include additional retrofits, or the splitting of a project into multiple phases. The following areas will not be reviewed again by CPUC Staff Calculation Tool Calculation Methodology M&V Plan Baseline Eligibility EUL/RUL Measure Type Program Influence				
Application rejected.	The application is rejected as submitted. The PA shall promptly inform the applicant as to the reasons why the project was rejected and the specific recommendations for the conditions under which the project would be approved. CPUC Staff shall provide the reasons for the rejection or request for modification, including each basis as to why the project is rejected, or modification is requested. In addition, CPUC Staff shall provide specific recommendations for the conditions under which the project would be approved. If any party to the project is unsatisfied with the Commission's directions for the project, a dispute resolution process may be initiated by that party. The Commission shall adopt rules for the conduct of the dispute resolution process. – Section 381.2 (g) (3) (F)				