

CPUC Staff Ex Ante Review

CPUC Staff Project ID Number	SCE 20 C C 452 [REDACTED]		
CMPA Directory Link	#N/A		
PA	SCE		
PA Application ID	[REDACTED]		
PA Application Executed Date	NA		
PA Program ID	SCE-13-L-003I		
PA Program Name	Public Sector Performance Based Retrofit Program (HOPPs)		
PA Program Year	2020		
Date of CPUC Staff Review:	6/2/2020		
PA CMPA Upload Dates Included in this review:			
First PA Upload	4/1/2020		
Second PA Upload	#N/A		
Third PA Upload	#N/A		
PA Measure Description(s):			
Measure 1	Public Sector HOPPs Whole Building Measu		
Measure 2			
Measure 3			
Measure 4			
Measure 5			
Measure 6			
Measure 7			
Measure 8			
Measure 9			
Measure 10			
PA Project Description:	NA		
PA Ex Ante kW Demand Reduction	[REDACTED]		
PA Ex Ante Annual kWh Impacts	[REDACTED]		
PA Ex Ante Annual Therm Impacts	[REDACTED]		
PA Proposed Incentive \$ (to Customer)	\$ [REDACTED]		
PA Proposed Total Payment to Implementer \$ (not to include the above incentive to customer)	[REDACTED]		
CPUC Staff Approved Ex Ante kW Demand Reduction	[REDACTED]		
CPUC Staff Approved Ex Ante Annual kWh Impacts	[REDACTED]		
CPUC Staff Approved Ex Ante Annual Therm Impacts	[REDACTED]		
CPUC Staff Primary Reviewer Name	[REDACTED]		
CPUC Staff Primary Reviewer Firm	[REDACTED]		
CPUC Staff Review Supervisor Name	[REDACTED]		
CPUC Staff Review Supervisor Firm	[REDACTED]		
PA Primary Reviewer Name	NA		
PA Primary Reviewer Firm	NA		
CPUC Staff Project Manager	[REDACTED]		
CPUC Staff Policy Authorization (as needed)			
CPUC Staff Recommendation Marked "X":			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Application ready to proceed without exception		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Application ready to proceed with exception(s), as noted		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Application rejected.		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Application not ready for review, revised and resubmit as noted		
Action Number:	Summary of CPUC Staff Required Action by the PA:	Action Category	Due Date

1	HOPPs reviews are advisory. See notes below. Please submit post-M&V model for review after the first year performance period	Other - Advisory HOPPs review	After first year performance period

Note or Instruction Number:	CPUC Staff Notes or Instructions:	Instruction Category	Due Date
1	Prospective review due to expiration of SB1131 timing clock	CPUC Policy	N/A
2	██████████ has an RFP out for behind the meter PV arrays. PA should perform a non-IOU fuel analysis based on the proposed system size and project energy savings.	Self-generation	N/A
3	Lighting work representing about ██████ of the expected savings started before enrollment in the HOPP. Please provide a letter authorizing the inclusion of the lighting work in the program.	Program eligibility	N/A
4	Project simple payback (██████████) exceeds the project life (██████████) based on upfront engineering calculations. Although not an eligibility criterion for HOPPs, program administrators and customers should be aware that the project may not be cost effective based on energy savings alone.	Other - simple payback	N/A
5	Please include a discussion in the M&V plan of how to adjust the NMEC model for energy consumption changes due to participation in another program.	M&V plan	N/A
6	Baseline period ended in ██████████. Depending on project completion and start of first performance period, project baseline model may need to be updated. NMEC rulebook lists a maximum 18 month construction period, which will expire end of ██████████	Baseline	N/A
7	MAT = normal replacement is claimed by most of the program measures. Although NR measures are allowed in HOPPS, note the project may see a reduction in net savings during ex-post evaluation.	NTG	N/A
8			
9			
10			

CPUC Staff Recommendation Definitions	
CPUC Staff Recommendation	Definition
Application ready to proceed without exception	The PA will continue to upload application documents to the CMPA directory through the implementation and claims phases of the project. The PA may proceed to approve the project without waiting for CPUC Staff response. A project is waived from further review at the post-installation stage by CPUC staff, but the PA is responsible for post-installation (IR) review. There will not be conditional approval.
Application ready to proceed with exception(s), as noted	<p>The PA must make revisions or changes as noted in CPUC Staff's review comments. The PA will continue to upload application documents to the CMPA directory through the implementation and claims phases of the project. The PA may proceed to approve the project without waiting for CPUC Staff response. If CPUC Staff decides to perform IR review of a project, CPUC Staff will notify the PA. The scope will be limited to determine if the project was carried out consistent with the application and notes provided during pre-installation review and to obtain information pertaining to whether the eligibility criteria or metrics should be revised.</p> <p>Unless the scope of work presented in project application has changed at IR review, the project will not be reviewed again in the areas specified below. Scope change is defined by substantial changes include significant modifications to the proposed equipment type, size, quantity, configuration, the expansion of a project to include additional retrofits, or the splitting of a project into multiple phases.</p> <p>The following areas will not be reviewed again by CPUC Staff:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Calculation Tool • Calculation Methodology • M&V Plan • Baseline • Eligibility • EUL/RUL • Measure Type • Program Influence
Application rejected.	<p>The application is rejected as submitted. The PA shall promptly inform the applicant as to the reasons why the project was rejected and the specific recommendations for the conditions under which the project would be approved. CPUC Staff shall provide the reasons for the rejection or request for modification, including each basis as to why the project is rejected, or modification is requested. In addition, CPUC Staff shall provide specific recommendations for the conditions under which the project would be approved.</p> <p>If any party to the project is unsatisfied with the Commission's directions for the project, a dispute resolution process may be initiated by that party. The Commission shall adopt rules for the conduct of the dispute resolution process. – Section 381.2 (g) (3) (F)</p>
Application not ready for review, revised and resubmit as noted	The application has deficiency in the supporting documentation and the PA has provided incomplete documentation. The complete documentation has been defined in the Statewide Custom Projects Guidance Document. Please note that this is not a final recommendation from CPUC staff. This recommendation is limited to two requests per application.