

CPUC Staff Ex Ante Review

CPUC Staff Project ID Number	SCE_20_T_C_596 [REDACTED]
CMPA Directory Link	https://deeresources.info/cmpa/projects/18876
PA	SCE
PA Application ID	[REDACTED]
PA Application Executed Date	
PA Program ID	SCE-13-TP-025
PA Program Name	Facility Assessment Services Program
PA Program Year	2020
Date of CPUC Staff Review:	3/31/2021
PA CMPA Upload Dates Included in this review:	
First PA Upload	12/1/2020
Second PA Upload	1/12/2021
Third PA Upload	2/2/2021
Fourth PA Upload	
Fifth PA Upload	
Sixth PA Upload	
Seventh PA Upload	
Eighth PA Upload	
PA Measure Description(s):	
Measure 1	[AB793 FASP ONLY] Whole building comprehensive retrofit -
Measure 2	
Measure 3	
Measure 4	
Measure 5	
Measure 6	
Measure 7	
Measure 8	
Measure 9	
Measure 10	
PA Project Description:	
Bi-Monthly Upload kW Demand Reduction	[REDACTED]
Bi-Monthly Upload Annual kWh Impacts	[REDACTED]
Bi-Monthly Upload Therm Impacts	[REDACTED]
PA Proposed Incentive \$ (to Customer)	[REDACTED]
Project Documentation kW Demand Reduction	[REDACTED]
Project Documentation Annual kWh Impacts	[REDACTED]
Project Documentation Annual Therm Impacts	[REDACTED]
CPUC Staff Primary Reviewer Name	[REDACTED]
CPUC Staff Primary Reviewer Firm	[REDACTED]
CPUC Staff Review Supervisor Name	[REDACTED]
CPUC Staff Review Supervisor Firm	[REDACTED]
PA Primary Reviewer Name	0.0
PA Primary Reviewer Firm	0.0
CPUC Staff Project Manager	
CPUC Staff Policy Authorization (as needed)	
CPUC Staff Recommendation:	Prospective review disposition
For rejection, action required:	N/A
M&V Review:	Post M&V Review NOT Required

Action Number:	Summary of CPUC Staff Required Action by the PA:	Action Category	PA Response	ED Resolution
1	The description of how participation in multiple concurrent programs will be tracked is limited. The M&V plan discusses this as an example of an NRE, but based on the M&V Plan, NREs are identified through data analytics with the customer interviewed once identified. Depending on the sensitivity of this analysis, due to the overlap in savings/impact between the NMEC measures and other EE program participation, this method has the potential to leave NREs undiscovered and unaccounted for.	M&V plan		

2	<p>The methodology of identifying outliers is vague. The M&V plan discusses excluding days in which there are fewer than expected intervals (i.e. <24 hours). In terms of identifying additional outliers, there is no discussion of a methodology to be used, stating: <i>We will remove additional outlier days where interval data is present throughout the day, but where there is a glitch in the collection process if we are able to identify reliable markers that indicate this situation. Without some experience with the actual data we cannot say in detail what such an algorithm will look like.</i></p>	M&V plan		
3	<p>The replacement of HVAC equipment took place [REDACTED]. The current model and savings claims do not adjust for this installation, however, as noted in the "First Year Performance Report" the implementer attempted to separate any HVAC efficiency improvements from HVAC schedule savings. They were not successful, and the project was withdrawn.</p>	Analysis assumptions		

Note or Instruction Number:	CPUC Staff Notes or Instructions:	Instruction Category	PA Response	ED Resolution
1	Prospective review of withdrawn project.	Other 1		

CPUC Staff Recommendation Definitions	
CPUC Staff Recommendation	Definition
Application ready to proceed without exception	<p>The PA will continue to upload application documents to the CMPA directory through the implementation and claims phases of the project. The PA may proceed to approve the project without waiting for CPUC Staff response. A project is waived from further review at the post-installation stage by CPUC staff, but the PA is responsible for post-installation (IR) review. There will not be conditional approval.</p>
Application ready to proceed with exception(s), as noted	<p>The PA must make revisions or changes as noted in CPUC Staff's review comments before signed agreement with customer. The PA will continue to upload application documents to the CMPA directory through the implementation and claims phases of the project. The PA may proceed to approve the project without waiting for CPUC Staff response. If CPUC Staff decides to perform IR review of a project, CPUC Staff will notify the PA. The scope will be limited to determine if the project was carried out consistent with the application and notes provided during pre-installation review and to obtain information pertaining to whether the eligibility criteria or metrics should be revised.</p> <p>Unless the scope of work presented in project application has changed at IR review, the project will not be reviewed again in the areas specified below. Scope change is defined by substantial changes include significant modifications to the proposed equipment type, size, quantity, configuration, the expansion of a project to include additional retrofits, or the splitting of a project into multiple phases.</p> <p>The following areas will not be reviewed again by CPUC Staff</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Calculation Tool • Calculation Methodology • M&V Plan • Baseline • Eligibility • EUL/RUL • Measure Type • Program Influence
Application rejected.	<p>The application is rejected as submitted. The PA shall promptly inform the applicant as to the reasons why the project was rejected and the specific recommendations for the conditions under which the project would be approved. CPUC Staff shall provide the reasons for the rejection or request for modification, including each basis as to why the project is rejected, or modification is requested. In addition, CPUC Staff shall provide specific recommendations for the conditions under which the project would be approved.</p> <p>If any party to the project is unsatisfied with the Commission's directions for the project, a dispute resolution process may be initiated by that party. The Commission shall adopt rules for the conduct of the dispute resolution process. – Section 381.2 (g) (3) (F)</p>