

CPUC Staff Ex Ante Review

CPUC Staff Project ID Number	SCE 21 C I 697
CMPA Directory Link	https://deeresources.info/cmpa/projects/19217
PA	SCE
PA Application ID	
PA Application Executed Date	
PA Program ID	SCE-13-SW-003D
PA Program Name	Industrial Strategic Management Program (SEM)
PA Program Year	2021
Date of CPUC Staff Review:	11/9/2021
PA CMPA Upload Dates Included in this review:	
First PA Upload	9/7/2021
Second PA Upload	9/23/2021
Third PA Upload	
Fourth PA Upload	
Fifth PA Upload	
Sixth PA Upload	
Seventh PA Upload	
Eighth PA Upload	
PA Measure Description(s):	
Measure 1	Whole building behavioral, operational, and process efficiency optimization
Measure 2	
Measure 3	
Measure 4	
Measure 5	
Measure 6	
Measure 7	
Measure 8	
Measure 9	
Measure 10	
PA Project Description:	Utilize differential pressure controls on dust collector baghouse pulsing; Add occupancy sensors to lighting fixtures
Bi-Monthly Upload kW Demand Reduction	
Bi-Monthly Upload Annual kWh Impacts	
Bi-Monthly Upload Therm Impacts	0.0
PA Proposed Incentive \$ (to Customer)	\$
Project Documentation kW Demand Reduction	
Project Documentation Annual kWh Impacts	
Project Documentation Annual Therm Impacts	0.0
CPUC Staff Primary Reviewer Name	
CPUC Staff Primary Reviewer Firm	
CPUC Staff Review Supervisor Name	
CPUC Staff Review Supervisor Firm	
PA Primary Reviewer Name	0.0
PA Primary Reviewer Firm	0.0
CPUC Staff Project Manager	
CPUC Staff Policy Authorization (as needed)	
CPUC Staff Recommendation:	Advisory
For rejection, action required:	N/A
M&V Review:	Post M&V Review (M&V Results and Final Calculations) Required

Action Number:	Summary of CPUC Staff Required Action by the PA:	Action Category	PA Response	ED Resolution
1	The documentation does not state or note the source of weather data. In order for our independent verification of the model to be consistent please specify the weather source.	Analysis assumptions		
2	SEM should be the special project description field in the bimonthly upload, not NMEC.	Other - bimonthly upload		

3	Please provide a live version of the Hypothesis Model in future submittals. This is a requirement in the Custom Project Guidance Document v1.3. We are unable to perform a through review of modeling methodology and adherence to the M&V plan (both in terms of the information entailed in the Hypothesis Model reports and the CA Industrial M&V Guide), with only the model inputs.	Calculation method		
4	Please provide backup documentation for the upfront engineering savings estimates for the proposed project. Also provide backup documentation for the upfront savings estimates for projects on the opportunity register.	Analysis assumptions		

Note or Instruction Number:	CPUC Staff Notes or Instructions:	Instruction Category	PA Response	ED Resolution
1	Advisory review of SEM project hypothesis model. Please provide first year savings report at the conclusion of the first year performance period.	Continue Document Upload		
2	Reviewer was able to independently replicate model results	Calculation method		

CPUC Staff Recommendation Definitions	
CPUC Staff Recommendation	Definition
Application ready to proceed without exception	<p>The PA will continue to upload application documents to the CMPA directory through the implementation and claims phases of the project. The PA may proceed to approve the project without waiting for CPUC Staff response. A project is waived from further review at the post-installation stage by CPUC staff, but the PA is responsible for post-installation (IR) review. There will not be conditional approval.</p>
Application ready to proceed with exception(s), as noted	<p>The PA must make revisions or changes as noted in CPUC Staff's review comments before signed agreement with customer. The PA will continue to upload application documents to the CMPA directory through the implementation and claims phases of the project. The PA may proceed to approve the project without waiting for CPUC Staff response. If CPUC Staff decides to perform IR review of a project, CPUC Staff will notify the PA. The scope will be limited to determine if the project was carried out consistent with the application and notes provided during pre-installation review and to obtain information pertaining to whether the eligibility criteria or metrics should be revised.</p> <p>Unless the scope of work presented in project application has changed at IR review, the project will not be reviewed again in the areas specified below. Scope change is defined by substantial changes include significant modifications to the proposed equipment type, size, quantity, configuration, the expansion of a project to include additional retrofits, or the splitting of a project into multiple phases.</p> <p>The following areas will not be reviewed again by CPUC Staff</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Calculation Tool • Calculation Methodology • M&V Plan • Baseline • Eligibility • EUL/RUL • Measure Type • Program Influence
Application rejected.	<p>The application is rejected as submitted. The PA shall promptly inform the applicant as to the reasons why the project was rejected and the specific recommendations for the conditions under which the project would be approved. CPUC Staff shall provide the reasons for the rejection or request for modification, including each basis as to why the project is rejected, or modification is requested. In addition, CPUC Staff shall provide specific recommendations for the conditions under which the project would be approved.</p> <p>If any party to the project is unsatisfied with the Commission's directions for the project, a dispute resolution process may be initiated by that party. The Commission shall adopt rules for the conduct of the dispute resolution process. – Section 381.2 (g) (3) (F)</p>