

CPUC Staff Ex Ante Review

CPUC Staff Project ID Number	SCE 22 P C 748
CMPA Directory Link	
PA	SCE
PA Application ID	
PA Application Executed Date	
PA Program ID	SCE-13-L-002Q
PA Program Name	County Energy Leader Partnership
PA Program Year	
Date of CPUC Staff Review:	4/12/2022
PA CMPA Upload Dates Included in this review:	
First PA Upload	3/1/2022
Second PA Upload	N/A
Third PA Upload	N/A
Fourth PA Upload	
Fifth PA Upload	
Sixth PA Upload	
Seventh PA Upload	
Eighth PA Upload	
PA Measure Description(s):	
Measure 1	Wastewater controls - add-on equipment
Measure 2	Aerobic digester blower controls - add-on equipment
Measure 3	
Measure 4	
Measure 5	
Measure 6	
Measure 7	
Measure 8	
Measure 9	
Measure 10	
PA Project Description:	Wastewater controls - add-on equipment Aerobic digester blower controls - add-on equipment
Bi-Monthly Upload kW Demand Reduction	
Bi-Monthly Upload Annual kWh Impacts	
Bi-Monthly Upload Therm Impacts	
PA Proposed Incentive \$ (to Customer)	
Project Documentation kW Demand Reduction	
Project Documentation Annual kWh Impacts	
Project Documentation Annual Therm Impacts	
Project Documentation Incentive \$ (to Customer)	
CPUC Staff Primary Reviewer Name	
CPUC Staff Primary Reviewer Firm	
CPUC Staff Review Supervisor Name	
CPUC Staff Review Supervisor Firm	
PA Primary Reviewer Name	
PA Primary Reviewer Firm	
CPUC Staff Project Manager	
CPUC Staff Policy Authorization (as needed)	
CPUC Staff Recommendation:	Application ready to proceed with exception(s), as noted
For rejection, action required:	N/A
M&V Review:	Post M&V Review NOT Required

Action Number:	Summary of CPUC Staff Required Action by the PA:	Action Category	PA Response	ED Resolution
	The PA used an EUL (effective useful life) of 6 years for AOE (Add-on Equipment) installation of Aerobic digester blower controls. According to the PA, the EUL of 6 years is based on the EUL of the host equipment (i.e., 8 years per DEER 2014 for typical wastewater blowers) minus the numbers of years since the digester blowers were last overhauled (the blowers were overhauled in 2019). It is not acceptable to update the default RUL rule based on site-specific maintenance practices. The EUL of this measure should be capped at 1/3 of the EUL of host equipment.	EUL/RUL		

Note or Instruction Number:	CPUC Staff Notes or Instructions:	Instruction Category	PA Response	ED Resolution
1	The project package includes some discussions about the customer's interest in on-bill financing (OBF). However, it is not clear whether the customer received OBF loan or not. Per SCE's OBF program rules, loans above \$250,000 may not be combined with rebates or other incentives. Given the size of this project, this can't be an issue for this project.	Other 1		

CPUC Staff Recommendation Definitions	
CPUC Staff Recommendation	Definition
Application ready to proceed without exception	The PA will continue to upload application documents to the CMPA directory through the implementation and claims phases of the project. The PA may proceed to approve the project without waiting for CPUC Staff response. A project is waived from further review at the post-installation stage by CPUC staff, but the PA is responsible for post-installation (IR) review. There will not be conditional approval.
Application ready to proceed with exception(s), as noted	<p>The PA must make revisions or changes as noted in CPUC Staff's review comments before signed agreement with customer. The PA will continue to upload application documents to the CMPA directory through the implementation and claims phases of the project. The PA may proceed to approve the project without waiting for CPUC Staff response. If CPUC Staff decides to perform IR review of a project, CPUC Staff will notify the PA. The scope will be limited to determine if the project was carried out consistent with the application and notes provided during pre-installation review and to obtain information pertaining to whether the eligibility criteria or metrics should be revised.</p> <p>Unless the scope of work presented in project application has changed at IR review, the project will not be reviewed again in the areas specified below. Scope change is defined by substantial changes include significant modifications to the proposed equipment type, size, quantity, configuration, the expansion of a project to include additional retrofits, or the splitting of a project into multiple phases.</p> <p>The following areas will not be reviewed again by CPUC Staff</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Calculation Tool • Calculation Methodology • M&V Plan • Baseline • Eligibility • EUL/RUL • Measure Type • Program Influence
Application rejected.	<p>The application is rejected as submitted. The PA shall promptly inform the applicant as to the reasons why the project was rejected and the specific recommendations for the conditions under which the project would be approved. CPUC Staff shall provide the reasons for the rejection or request for modification, including each basis as to why the project is rejected, or modification is requested. In addition, CPUC Staff shall provide specific recommendations for the conditions under which the project would be approved.</p> <p>If any party to the project is unsatisfied with the Commission's directions for the project, a dispute resolution process may be initiated by that party. The Commission shall adopt rules for the conduct of the dispute resolution process. – Section 381.2 (g) (3) (F)</p>
Advisory.	The PA is not formally required to follow instructions or recommendations given in an Advisory review. However, issues found will affect ESPI scoring and may come up again in Ex-Post review.