

CPUC Staff Ex Ante Review

CPUC Staff Project ID Number	PGE_19_C_A_363_PRJ - 02151957 HVAC
CMPA Directory Link	https://deeresrouces.info/cmpa/projects/15884
PA	PGE
PA Application ID	PRJ - 02151957
PA Application Executed Date	11/14/2019
PA Program ID	PGE21031
PA Program Name	Agricultural Calculated Incentives - Customized Incentive Program
PA Program Year	2019
Date of CPUC Staff Review:	3/10/2020
PA CMPA Upload Dates Included in this review:	
First PA Upload	#N/A
Second PA Upload	#N/A
Third PA Upload	#N/A
PA Measure Description(s):	
Measure 1	PROCESS RETROFIT/NEW-FAN-VFD
Measure 2	PROCESS RETROFIT/NEW-FAN-EFFICIENT UNIT
Measure 3	
Measure 4	
Measure 5	
Measure 6	
Measure 7	
Measure 8	
Measure 9	
Measure 10	
PA Project Description:	The replacement of existing fans with HE Fans and VFDs as a New Load
PA Ex Ante kW Demand Reduction	0.0
PA Ex Ante Annual kWh Impacts	102,799.0
PA Ex Ante Annual Therm Impacts	0.0
PA Proposed Incentive \$ (to Customer)	\$12,335.88
PA Proposed Total Payment to Implementer \$ (not to include the above incentive to customer)	0
CPUC Staff Approved Ex Ante kW Demand Reduction	0.0
CPUC Staff Approved Ex Ante Annual kWh Impacts	102,799.0
CPUC Staff Approved Ex Ante Annual Therm Impacts	0.0
CPUC Staff Primary Reviewer Name	
CPUC Staff Primary Reviewer Firm	E350
CPUC Staff Review Supervisor Name	
CPUC Staff Review Supervisor Firm	BMI
PA Primary Reviewer Name	
PA Primary Reviewer Firm	
CPUC Staff Project Manager	
CPUC Staff Policy Authorization (as needed)	
CPUC Staff Recommendation Marked "X":	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Application ready to proceed without exception
<input type="checkbox"/>	Application ready to proceed with exception(s), as noted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Application rejected.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Application not ready for review, revised and resubmit as noted

Action Number:	Summary of CPUC Staff Required Action by the PA:	Action Category	Due Date

Note or Instruction Number:	CPUC Staff Notes or Instructions:	Instruction Category	Due Date
1	Program influence documentation was weak. A single email describing project alternatives was supplied. Although this meets the criteria for CPR, consider improving documentation for possible ex-post evaluation selection.	NTG	N?A
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			

